

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/679,264 | OKAZAKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Elizabeth Ivey | 1775 | |

All Participants:

(1) Elizabeth Ivey.

(2) Toyohiko Konno.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2006

Time: 2:15 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 18 and 20 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was requested to allow an amendment to the claims to include all of the limitations of claim 20 into claim 18 and to cancel claim 20 and claims 3-7 and 13-17. It would also be necessary to change the dependency of claim 21 to depend from claim 18 rather than claim 20 (now cancelled). Applicant agreed to the amendment, change in dependency and cancellation of claims as requested.